## Case 22-13032-amc Doc 401 Filed 08/04/23 Entered 08/04/23 14:08:25 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 11

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

. Chapter 9

IN RE:

. Case No. 22-13032-amc

CITY OF CHESTER, PENNSYLVANIA,

•

. 900 Market Street

. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Debtor. .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Tuesday, June 27, 2023

TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO HEARING RE:

MOTION OF CHESTER WATER AUTHORITY FOR DISCLOSURE OF COMPENSATION OF LEGAL PROFESSIONAL REPRESENTATION OF THE

DEBTOR'S ELECTED OFFICIALS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ASHELY M. CHAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:

For the Debtor: Matthew G. Summers, Esq.

Toby M. Daluz, Esq. BALLARD SPAHR, LLP

919 North Market Street

11th Floor

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For the Chester Water

Authority:

Kevin Dooley Kent, Esq. Megan A. Guernsey, Esq.

CLARK HILL, PLC Two Commerce Square

2001 Market St, Suite 2620

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(Appearances Continued)

Audio Operator: Electronically Recorded

by Court Personnel

Transcription Company: RedDoor Legal Services, LLC

44 Valley Forge Road Bordentown, N.J. 08505

(973) 985-3668

www.reddoorlegalservices.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

## Case 22-13032-amc Doc 401 Filed 08/04/23 Entered 08/04/23 14:08:25 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 11

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM: (Continued)

For Chester Water Authority:

Ronald A. King, Esq.

CLARK HILL, PLC

215 South Washington Square

Suite 200

Lansing, Michigan 48933

William C. Price, Esq.

CLARK HILL, PLC One Oxford Centre

301 Grant St, 14th Floor

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

For the Elected Officials:

Mark Pfeiffer, Esq.

BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY, PC

Two Liberty Place

50 South 16th Street, Suite 3200 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

For Aqua Pennsylvania,
Inc.:

Guy A. Donatelli, Esq.

LAMB MCERLANE, PC

One South Broad Street, Suite 1500 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

INDEX

3

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT BY MR. KENT	6
COURT DECISION	7

(Proceedings commence)

THE COURT: It's June the 27th. The first and only matter is Chester -- City of Chester. It's the motion of Chester Water Authority for disclosure of compensation of legal professional representation of the debtor's elected officials.

Could participating attorneys make their appearance, please.

Good afternoon --

2.3

MR. SUMMERS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Good afternoon, Your Honor. Matthew Summers, Ballard Spahr, on behalf of the City of Chester. Appearing with me today is Toby Daluz.

THE COURT: Welcome.

MR. KENT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kevin Kent from Clark Hill, representing the Chester Water Authority. I also have my colleagues Megan Guernsey, Ron King, and Bill Price here with me.

MR. PFEIFFER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Mark
Pfeiffer from Buchanan, Ingersoll & Rooney representing the
elected officials.

MR. DONATELLI: May it please the Court, Your Honor, Guy Donatelli on behalf of Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So, Mr. Pfeiffer, a couple of questions before you.

The last time we were all together or at least the last time I was looking at everything, I believe that your firm received a total of two payments in connection with this bankruptcy matter. Is that true or have any other payments been made since the time that you filed that affidavit?

MR. PFEIFFER: Your Honor, to clarify, I think the

MR. PFEIFFER: Your Honor, to clarify, I think the two payments were made in connection with the Act 47 matter, the state --

THE COURT: Oh --

MR. PFEIFFER: -- Commonwealth Court matter.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PFEIFFER: The retention in this bankruptcy case will likely go through the professionals procedure that the Court established a couple of weeks ago.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PFEIFFER: We have not received any other payments since that date.

And to clarify, to the extent that my -- the affidavit was ambiguous, those payments came from the City itself, not from any other party. There is no agreement by any party, either side agreement, express, over, or implied, of payment from anybody other than the City.

THE COURT: Okay. And so, when you say "the City," you mean, basically, the Council and the Mayor approved that payment. Is that correct?

MR. PFEIFFER: The retention is with the City itself on behalf of the Mayor and Council. So the payment would be coming from the City itself.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. I'm -- thank you for that clarification.

I mean, I just wanted to note that the debtor is required, as a condition of confirmation under Section 943 to disclose all amounts to be paid by the debtor or any person for services or expenses in the case or incident to the plan. So whoever pays your legal bills in connection with the City's representation in this case is something that I think would be something that would have to be disclosed.

So, having said that, I guess I just wanted to hear from the movant if they had any other questions because, at this point, I'm pretty reluctant to get any more involved, other than having just to ask that question to Mr. Pfeiffer. You know, I do want to observe the sovereignty of the City, and I think that that basically takes me up to my jurisdiction. But I wanted to hear from the movant if they had any other thoughts at this point.

MR. KENT: Thank you, Your Honor. Kevin Kent again for the Chester Water Authority.

I certainly understand Your Honor's concerns about sovereignty and we're not looking to ask this Court to exercise, really, any form of control over anything that the

elected officials are doing.

2.3

This is a 2004 motion simply seeking information.

And if you recall early on in this case, there were questions raised and I think representations made about fee agreements being made available, budgets being made available for the elected officials' counsel. We haven't seen those. We don't know what the impact could be on the debtor down the line.

And that's important for purposes of a plan of confirmation.

There have been affidavits filed in this case, but frankly, some of the affidavits raise more questions than they do provide answers.

While I understand Mr. Pfeiffer's representation, but it doesn't answer the question of what communications have been had about how proceeds may be used from, for example, a forced sale.

There is an asset purchase agreement that has been an exhibit in this -- actually, I'm sorry, not an exhibit in this case, but has been referenced in an ordinance that's an exhibit in this case. We don't have that. We don't know what payments may be made on behalf of the City -- the elected officials -- or to the elected officials' counsel down the line, and that's important for us to understand in this case. And we are a creditor.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I think that my position at this time is, given my sovereignty concerns, I think I

would be inclined to deny your motion. But certainly, you should feel free to file whatever you need to in connection with the State Court. You know, there's -- the receiver was appointed and that Court has been issuing lots of different rulings. I think that, to the extent that you have concerns about anything that involves the budgetary concerns of the City, I think that you should go to that court.

I was concerned at the outset because it was my understanding that some of the allegations might be that there were parties involved in this bankruptcy proceeding that may have made payments to Mr. Pfeiffer's firm in connection with his representation of the City here, and certainly, that's something that I'd want to know. But you've just heard him confirm that that's not the case.

So I think that any other concerns that you have is something that should be taken up by the State Court.

I don't know if anyone wanted to -- if the debtor 
- I didn't ask the receiver if they had any position. I

mean, I know that you've -- you didn't know where the money

was coming from and I didn't really give you an opportunity

to jump in. But you see where I'm going. Was there anything

else that you wanted to add to this before we move on?

MR. SUMMERS: Just to, I think, reiterate, Your Honor, that the receiver, which is the sole actor for the City in this bankruptcy case, has not approved any

arrangement, fee agreement with Buchanan Ingersoll to represent the elected officials in this case and, you know, is not -- to our knowledge, an engagement agreement hasn't been signed, so we want to clarify that.

And then, two, with respect to Mr. Kent's comments about the asset purchase agreement, the -- I think as Mr. Kent knows, the receiver has not signed the asset purchase agreement. So, as of -- you know, he's decided not to sign it at this point. So I just want to make sure that that's clear, as well.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Pfeiffer, I didn't know if you wanted to respond to any of that?

MR. PFEIFFER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. He heard your statement, Mr. Summers. And I guess, if there's anything more, we'll hear about that in the future.

But with all of that having been said, I am going to deny the motion now that I'm at least clear on the fact that no fees for this bankruptcy have been paid by any of the interested parties in this case. So that kind of takes me out of the issue.

And you're certainly welcome to file whatever you need to State Court with regard to your concerns. Thank you, Mr. Kent.

MR. KENT: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.

10 1 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pfeiffer, thank you, Mr. 2 Summers. 3 MR. PFEIFFER: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. The matter is concluded. Thank you, everybody. And I'm sorry again for the delay. 5 6 Thanks. 7 MR. KENT: Thank you. 8 (Proceedings concluded)

\*\*\*\*

9

Coleen Rand, AAERT Cert. No. 341

Certified Court Transcriptionist

For RedDoor Legal Services

## **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability.

July 27, 2023

July 27, 20